REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 40-46 are pending in the present application. By this reply, claims 4-39 have been cancelled and claims 40-46 have been added. Claims 1 and 40 are independent claims.

The specification has been amended to clarify the invention. Such amendments do not add new matter and are fully supported by the original disclosure, e.g., Fig. 2.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-4, 19-22, 24-26, 28-29 and 31-39 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) [1] as being unpatentable over Schneck et al. (U.S. Patent 5,993,498) in view of Akiyama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,784,464). This rejection, in so far as it pertains to the presently pending claims, is respectfully traversed.

Regarding independent claim 1, Schneck et al. is directed to providing an access mechanism 114 at a user site 104 as shown in Figs. 1 and 5. The access mechanism 114 receives data such as the packaged data 150 or 108 from a distributor 102. The packaged data 150 may include encrypted access rules 116,

---

[1] Applicants treat the Examiner's statement that these claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Schneck et al. in view of Akiyama et al., as a typographical error since the entire discussion of the rejection obviously involves 35 U.S.C. §103(a), which is also cited in paragraph 10 of the Office Action.

Office Action Dated: August 19, 2004   Appl. No. 09/499,633

Amendment filed: January 13, 2005   Art Unit 3621

Page 9 of 13

or the encrypted rules may be provided to the user separately as the package rules 152 as shown in Fig. 5. The data sent to the user is encrypted with a data-encrypting key K_D, which is encrypted using a rule-encrypting key K_R. The rule encrypting key K_R is calculated as a function of the validated serial number of the system 100 or 101 (column 14, lines 36-40 of Schneck et al.). Thus, in Scheck et al., the access mechanism 114 decrypts the data-encrypting key K_D using the rule-encrypting key K_R and the decrypted data-encrypting key K_D is used to access the data.

In clear contrast, in Applicants' invention as set forth in claim 1, a digital data playing device stores a digital data file downloaded from a PC in a data storage medium, wherein the stored digital data file has been encrypted by steps of:

1) Generating an encryption key including at least a serial number of the digital data playing device and/or an ID number of the storage medium;

2) Transmitting said encryption key from the digital data playing device to an encryption/download unit of the PC through a network; and

3) Enrypting by the PC the digital data file using said encryption key.

For instance, as shown in Figure 2 of the present application, the MP3 player 130 generates an encryption key based on at least the serial number of the MP3 player and/or the ID number of a storage medium such as a disc 140. This encryption key ("16 BYTES E_K") is transmitted from the MP3 player 130 to an encryption/download unit 124 of the PC 120 via a network. The

encryption/download unit 124 encrypts the raw data received from the encryption/decryption unit 123 using the received encryption key ("16 BYTES E_K") and then transmits the encrypted data to the MP3 player 130 for storage. The MP3 player 130 decrypts the stored encrypted data using the same encryption key to reproduce the decrypted data for playing the data therein. These features of claim 1 are fully supported by the original disclosure, for example, see Figure 2; page 6, lines 20-25; page 5, line 27 of the original specification for a communication network between the PC 120 and the MP3 player 130.

Clearly, in Schneck et al., there is no feature of transmitting the encryption key from the digital data playing device to an encryption/download unit of the PC, encrypting by the PC the digital data using this encryption key, receiving by the data playing device the encrypted digital data from the PC, and decrypting the digital data using the same encryption key, as in Applicants' claimed invention.

Furthermore, there is no generation of an encryption key "including at least a serial number of the digital data playing device/or an ID number of the storage medium" (claim 1) in Schneck et al. In Schneck et al., the rule- encrypting key K_R is generated based on a serial number of the system 100 in Figure 1 or 101 in Figure 5. In the last Office Action, the Examiner makes a number of assertions regarding what is specifically taught by Schneck et al. regarding this claimed feature. But Applicants respectfully submit that these assertions are not supported by the actual disclosure of Schneck et al. For instance, the Examiner states on page 4 of the last Office Action that Schneck et al. teaches an encryption

key "generated by using unique IDs associated with the product distributed, its storage medium, player device, end user, product publisher, and/or any combination of these numbers". But there is no disclosure support for such an allegation. One thing that Schneck et al. teaches is that the rule-encrypting key K_R is generated based on a validated serial number of the system and that the data-encrypting key K_D is different for each product (i.e., for each packaged data 108). If the Examiner were to maintain the accuracy of such assertions, the Examiner should identify the specific portion(s) and/or element(s) that the Examiner relies on to support his position.

Furthermore, Akiyama et al. does not overcome these deficiencies of Schneck et al. since Akiyama et al. is directed to using a random number generator to generate a random number and encrypting the random number, which is then used to encrypt data. Akiyama et al. does not disclose at least the above-noted features as recited in independent claim 1.

Regarding independent claim 40, Schneck et al. and Akiyama et al., either taken singularly or in combination, do not teach or suggest a digital data playing device which includes "a second encryption algorithm encrypting an initial encryption key which has been generated based on the ID number of the digital data playing device or the associated memory; a decryption algorithm decrypting an encrypted digital data downloaded from a PC using said encrypted encryption key". In Schneck et al., it is the rule-encrypting key K_R, which is generated based on the serial number of the system.

Therefore, even if the references are combinable, assuming *arguendo*, the combination of references would still fail to teach or suggest the invention as recited in independent claims 1 and 40 and their dependent claims (due to their dependency). Accordingly, all the claims are allowable over the applied references, and the rejection must be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the present application is in condition for allowance. Issuance of a Notice of Allowance is thus respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Esther H. Chong (Reg. No. 40,953) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Applicant(s) respectfully petitions under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and 1.17 for a two-month extension of time in which to respond to the Examiner's Office Action. The Extension of Time Fee in the amount of $450.00 is attached hereto with the filing fee for the RCE.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By　*Esther H. Chong #40,953*
Esther H. Chong, #40,953

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

JTE/EHC/te:sld
0630-0981P